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Hito Steyerl’s *In Free Fall*, 2010, a three-chapter video the artist describes as “recycled,” illustrates the life cycle of the Boeing 4X-JYI jet through a mixture of what Steyerl calls “rich” and “poor” images—the high-definition and static alternating with the grainy and perpetually motional. Interviews and fictional narration relating the plane’s journey—from TWA to the Israeli air force, and thence to various afterlives as scrap metal and film prop—are interspersed with found low-resolution clips depicting plane crashes and snippets from television science programs about recycling. Steyerl’s gambit is to blur these elements’ formal boundaries and the narrative structure framing them. Establishing a hierarchy of veracity among her images—and thus among the claims they seem to make—becomes impossible. Falling, for Steyerl, is an open-ended metaphor: for the plane’s existence, for stock market crashes past and present, and for the infernal loop the montage structure creates.

Here the ostensible starting point is a 1929 essay by the Russian avant-garde dramatist and “factographer” Sergei Tretyakov titled “The Biography of the Object,” which asserts that a description of an object’s life and death could reveal more about social relations than a biography of an individual could. The inherently ideological nature of matter itself, according to Tretyakov, requires distinguishing between parts of reality that “strengthen our socialist positions,” like successful Five-Year Plan schemes, and, on the other hand, “those that weaken them.” Favoring “friendly facts” over “hostile” ones would therefore supersede the form/content distinction and thus render the artist obsolete. For Steyerl, however, it is form that defines the different manifestations of matter. This attitude allows her to subvert the loaded content of her images, whether related to the financial crisis, Hollywood escapism, Israeli militarism, or the flux of globalization. As real and fake documentary footage intertwines with fiction and pop culture, the factual and didactic elements of the film essay give way to a purer kind of entertainment—a notion Steyerl herself, dancing dressed as a flight attendant as the film ends, seems to reinforce.

— Max Seddon
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Hito Steyerl’s solo exhibition at Chisenhale Gallery, London, presents a new film that incorporates a trio of works – *After the Crash*, *Before the Crash* and *Crash* – which employs the setting and characters of an aeroplane junkyard in the Californian desert to tell the story of the current economic climate.

*In Free Fall* (2010), the space of the junkyard allows various ‘crash’ narratives to unfold, with the stories of actual crashes and the remnants and afterlife of these machines becoming metaphors for economic decline. This is an investigation of planes as they are parked during the economic downturn, stored and recycled, revealing unexpected connections between economy, violence and spectacle, finding perfect example in the form of the Boeing 4X-JYI, an aircraft first acquired by film director Howard Hughes for TWA, which was subsequently flown by the Israeli Airforce before finding its way to the Californian desert to be blown up for the Hollywood blockbuster *Speed.*
In the back office of a chilly Chisenhale Gallery, Hito Steyerl explains the inspiration behind In Free Fall, her latest film installation. Fittingly enough given the theme of the work, it came to her mid-flight, when she spotted a magazine image of derelict aircraft grounded in the desert. Through research and extrapolation, Steyerl set out to tell the story of one of these planes, the Boeing 4X-JYI. Over a series of three films – After the Crash, Before the Crash, and Crash – she tracks its progress through commercial and military service to the scrap heap, where it is blown up for the movie Speed and ultimately recycled as a component of pirated DVD discs storing duplicates of the Hollywood film. This is a deceptively neat premise for what turns out to be a frenetically-paced production, crammed with creative associations that turn the image of the stricken plane into a metaphor for the economy: global, capitalist, and destined for crash after crash. Steyerl does not watch from a distance. Her own world of images is dangerously embroiled as she examines the devastating impact of digitalisation on the DVD market via an interview with her struggling cameraman Kevan Jenson. Steyerl’s medley of new and reused footage is ripped, cut, blown up and stitched together, subject to the same disintegrations and reformations as the planes it pictures. The credits announce “recycling by Hito Steyerl” in ironic acknowledgement of her complicity in the processes of consumption, destruction and (re)production she critiques. It all begs the voiceover’s eventual question: “What about the passengers? Does anybody make it out alive?”

Steyerl, who has made her name from politically-involved film essays such as this, is one of a succession of artists to tackle social politics alongside a more self-referential politics of the image. Born in Munich in 1966 and growing up in the wake of New German Cinema, she studied cinematography at the Academy of Visual Arts, Japan, and later at the Hochschule für Fernsehen und Film back in her home town. Steyerl jokes that, as a young German filmmaker, she had little choice but to follow the lead of established artists such as Wim Wenders and Harun Farocki, whose films examine the power of images as potent cultural weapons. In truth, of course, she has done more than follow.
Steyerl’s practice is structured by the idea that you cannot address a social issue productively without first understanding your own part in it. She refuses to downplay her own presence in her films. In *Lovely Andrea* (2007) Steyerl tracks down a ‘rope bondage’ photograph of herself, taken in Tokyo in 1987. Leafing through magazines where hundreds of individual women are posed as a type, she acts as artist and image; detective and evidence; narrator and plot. In November (2004) she tells the story of her close friend Andrea Wolf; or rather, the story of Wolf’s image as it is appropriated by the Kurdish liberation movement, for whose cause she is believed to have been killed. Interspersing scenes from a movie they filmed in their youth with shots of Wolf’s icon-like portrait held aloft at political rallies, Steyerl contrasts her friend’s theatrically assumed early image with one which has subsequently both revived and subsumed her. The motivations behind both films are disarmingly personal, but Steyerl approaches them as topics to be analysed and dissected. Equally wary of sentimentality and false objectivity, she acknowledges herself as part of the picture, eager “to make my position transparent” and truly complex.

Steyerl has progressively developed her own methods to address one of the most bewildering phenomena of the last few decades – the ever-expanding ether of social media and virtual exchange. In an age when many of us treat images as weightless windows onto the world at one remove from real life, Steyerl routinely drags them back into the physical realm. In *Strike* (2010) she tests the idea to literal breaking point, smashing a blank LCD screen to create a jagged abstract pattern. Screen is destroyed on-screen, and the apparatus in front of your nose becomes palpably present. Short and punchy, the film powerfully reminds us that images have a physical reality just like anything else; the limitations of its production, replication and dispersal can fundamentally alter its impact. Only after recognising that should we address the thorny issue of a film’s content, which *November* and *Lovely Andrea* have already demonstrated to be dictated as much by an audience’s emotional and ideological investment in an image as by the picture itself.

Steyerl has a PhD in Philosophy, has taught and lectured at leading institutions across the world, and has a formidable reputation as a film theorist, so it was no surprise to find that she talks confidently and knowledgeably about her medium. Her well-respected essays further explore the problems of her craft. ‘In Defence of the Poor Image,’ for example, examines the proliferation of manipulated and degraded pictures on the web; something which is consistently explored in her films. In *Free Fall* proudly screens even the most pixellated of pirate clips in glitteringly ironic ‘HD’, while its narrative foray into the wreckage of the DVD market acknowledges the dangerous, uncharted social consequences of this media explosion. *Red Alert 2* (2008) by contrast, suggests a degree of optimism about the same state of affairs. In 2007 she showed *Red Alert* – a triptych of red film screens evoking (among other things) the highest state of national vigilance against terror attacks – at Documenta 12. When the image went viral and was shared by press and individuals across the web, Steyerl gathered up their pictures, rearranged and reanimated them into a secondary film shaped by other people’s views. Steyerl’s disarming ability to relinquish control of her work is a memorable strength. These films, which draw on academic as well as popular examples (*In Free Fall* takes its cue from Sergej Tretjakov’s assertion that the biography of an object is more socially interesting than that of the hero; *Red Alert* draws on Rodchenko’s audacious triptych of primary colours in 1921), are the products of a genuinely collective authorship.

Steyerl’s self-appointed task is a difficult one: as a committed visual artist, she adamantly refuses to tell her images what to do. For her, one of the crucial hindrances to social rethinking is the commentator’s oppressive desire to use images and evidence to a predetermined end. Her films are committed to complication, as she deftly and lightly weaves associative webs designed to catch our imagination, but not to fix it down. None of the multiplying strands of her thought, practice and imagery can or should be unravelled; my attempts to do so here are for the purposes of introduction alone. Steyerl pays, in her opinion, the greatest respect to her images by tangling them up and then letting them go.
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Artvehicle 54 — Editorial

Now I blame the Tories. The sneaky, stealthy, don't-miss-an-opportunity Conservative Government (with its embarrassing Lib-Dem appendix). While Labour were flailing around like a kid in a blindfold the Tories tiptoed in and stole their sweets.

Some time ago, Tony acquired GREED for Labour as one of its 'new' values but now, in some kind of a trade-off, they have managed to lose BEING NICE TO EACH OTHER by leaving it on a seat somewhere. Honestly it's exasperating; whatever will they give up next? Workers Unite? Red?

So they've Dave's it; and now he's modernised it. Repackaged it as six luxury apartments and a retail space. Chewed it up a bit, given it a sans serif font and spat it back into our faces as The Big Society.

Now, given that the basic premise of politics is that the Conservatives make rich people richer and Labour help poor people, the advantages of this trade off for Dave are multiple:

Dave asks the public to take responsibility for helping the infirm across the roads. Help one another. You see a blind man, help him, do it for Dave. We are all one big family: you, the blind guy and the tosser thrashing around the disappointingly un-extended congestion zone in a bloated black Porsche 4X4.

Then we'll see a slow phasing out of all the zebra crossings over the next week or two to stop pedestrians clogging up the tarmac and put them back on the pavement where they belong. Now if Aunty Ethel gets sheared off at the knees by a banker in a Ferrari then it's your bloody fault.

Another example: all those books you bought you pretentious fraud. Lend them to poor people that want to read them. Who are you to stand in the way of someone that wants to better themselves? There's no way they'll ever be going to university. We can call it The People's Library.

Best of all: The Tory cause of the promotion of selfishness receives a backhanded boost. Normally-good people are now paranoid of doing nice things in public in case they appear pro-Tory. While the new government is encouraging overt, in-yer-face, brash gift-giving and philanthropy, thus alleviating the state of its responsibility, there is a backlash on the street. Joe Public is demonstrating its dissatisfaction with the state of the nation with random acts of rudeness.

And that is why, when I was sitting in the Chisenhale last month watching the excellent film In Free Fall by Hito Steyerl, Some artlover wandered in and, rather than being viewed as pro-Tory and courteously going to the side or the back, placed his large, pink 'no to the cuts' placard of a head slap in the middle of the front row. Take that Dave. Yes I blame the Conservatives, and possibly the Swiss.

Adrian Lee
Plane Destructive: the Recent Films of Hito Steyerl

By Kerstin Stakemeier

With her latest film, In Free Fall, Hito Steyerl shifts her focus from the production and circulation of images to the consumption of objects. What political potential is released, asks Kerstin Stakemeier, when we understand consumption and destruction as a collectivising activity?

The popularity of the documentary form, in video, film and photography, has been widely debated in the realm of art over the last decade. Within the field of contemporary art, as a branch of the capitalist culture industry, the framing and production of subjects through the documentary form has come to carry an often dubious function. The figures of documentarism thereby produced offer a purely visual 'engagement', providing spectators with images that 'should be seen', and repeating art's traditionally affirmative social function by replacing a political act with its visual representation.

Ever since the anti-G8 protests in Seattle (1999) and Genoa (2001) gave rise to what came to be labelled the 'multitude', the documentary has come to provide the representational form for a set of associated visual-political
affects. Due to the political as well as visual heterogeneity of 'the multitude', its visual representations provided role models or figures of identification, which, especially within the field of art, tend to depict political engagement as a kind of individual self-realisation. 'Documentarism' (a term coined by film-maker Hito Steyerl) in the visual codes of art has, both willingly and unwillingly, identified contemporary forms of political commitment as the fleeting spectacle of liberated individualism. Either that or as the romanticised and thus de-politicised glorification of a disobeying youth. In his contribution to The Greenroom, a volume 'reconsidering the documentary and contemporary art', published by Hito Steyerl and Maria Lind in 2008, Maurizio Lazzarato characterises the political radicality of Seattle as being an event that 'turns away from historical conditions in order to create something new.'

This political appraisal of the 'event', as the moment of exception, has become popular not only through the philosophy of Alain Badiou but also due to the recent proliferation of locally emerging and violent uprisings grasped in terms of the event by texts such as The Coming Insurrection. This motif provides a politically invaluable figure of radical negation and its ambivalences, but when simply transferred into the realm of contemporary art, is positivised aesthetically and thus evaporates into thin air. In art, where the event is the classical figure of art's sensationalism, of its epiphanic character, such fetishisations of a moment of truth serve an inverted function. In its aestheticisation, the singularised event bears no consequences, but releases a whiff of freedom beyond reality, an aesthetic norm of excess. As Steyerl puts it in her own contribution to the volume in which she discusses the 'coarse and blurry images' of contemporary documentarism: 'these images create the norm by reporting the exceptional, even unimaginable; they transform the exception into the rule.'

This exceptionalism cultivated in art documentarism has largely helped give rise to the newly acclaimed genre of 'political art' in the very same decade and which, today, is prominently displayed as another genre in the most influential institutions of contemporary art in Europe. Via projects like The Greenroom, which comprises a number of publications and exhibitions, it has even extended to the US. Meanwhile, the justificatory function of this kind of imagery has accompanied the export of Biennials into the global backyards of capitalist production while the exoticised imagery of these regions is imported back into the western showrooms of the self-same system. For the artistic reproduction of this imported imagery, this poses multiple problems, since it not only stages a scenario in which the ideological function of such imagery has to be complicated within the work and its production, but also one in which this might be rendered obsolete by its 'successful' representation. As Steyerl argues in the same essay, documentarism in contemporary art only counteracts this spectacular and ultimately affirmative function where the terms of contemporary imagery's production are considered in relation to the drastic historical changes they have undergone within the last decades. Such changes cast into question their identity as art as much as the recent glorifications of their ever more mobile and digital means.

As a film-maker, teacher, writer and a public intellectual, Hito Steyerl has engaged intensively in the rise of 'documentarism in the field of art', which also is the subtitle of her book The Colour of Truth, published in German in 2008. Within the European art world, Steyerl has recently become one of the most prominent agents of a critical artistic position in film, proposing a documentarism of (rather than in) her own medium, and its critique through video, TV and web imagery. Through her multiple interventions, also as a writer, Steyerl has made a strong case for the 'defense of the poor image'. Proposing that the hierarchical relation of image quality in the highly, and the less highly, acclaimed ranks of contemporary visual culture are in fact re-enacting the hierarchical divisions characterising the social status of their recipients. Where high art still concentrates predominantly on the artistic refinement of those media which have long been regarded obsolete by other realms of capitalist production, mass culture relies on the low cost media of a general reproductive ness. Steyerl goes on to imply that these non-aligned relations open up a possible stage of artistic engagement. Specifically Steyerl's earlier films, such as Normality 1-10, (1999/2000), The Empty Centre, (1998), and November, (2004), have consequentially combined very different image sources, narrative structures and sound materials, mixing not only varied strands of contemporary culture but also explicitly referring them back to their political origins, to the political powers they are subjected to.
Steyerl's films, therefore, are not only decidedly contemporary but also present this contemporaneity as the interface of different times, of antagonistic histories. In all of these works Steyerl used the frame of the essay-film to demonstrate film as a medium which can, through the combination of different image sources within a narrative structure, engage in the social and political implications of a subjectively positioned narration to demonstrate its universal implications for political solidarity. One might argue that each medium that has emerged from the capitalist landscape of visual culture is characterised by its history as the vessel of a specific ideological function for this society. By extension, this medium might be interfered with or turned against itself on the basis of this function, given its historically specific determination. Where artistic practices such as Steyerl's articulate such a relation with precision, they demonstrate a praxis which provides its audience with a historically precise and thus engaging understanding of their present. History is presented as a means rather than a representation.

In light of this potential, Steyerl's latest film *In Free Fall* (shown at the Collective Gallery in Edinburgh in the summer of 2010 and after that at London's Chisenhale Gallery until mid December as a solo show consisting of one enormous screen), proposes a far-reaching shift of focus. At first glance, it activates history from the perspective of an object, not from that of a subject. The film opens up the individualised narration of an object, an aeroplane recently dismantled in the Mojave desert in California, which had been built in the US in 1956. The plane's history is narrativised through Steyerl's use of Sergei Tretyakov's text *The Biography of the Object*, first published in 1929. In the text Tretyakov argues for an anti-heroic narrative founded on the collaborative schemes of production in a proto-communist society. Accordingly, the other protagonists of *In Free Fall* are its producers: Steyerl herself, narrating parts of the storyline, reading out her references, leading conversations and giving instructions from outside the image frame and her three interlocutors: her American cameraman, the operator of the junk yard in the Mojave desert and the Israeli narrator of many of the biographical notes on the object. In the film, Steyerl stages the tale of a commodity and its altering states as an entity of capitalist use value. The object, named Boeing 4X-JYI, is salvaged from its seamless homogeneity as yet another ‘thing’; in this specific case as yet another part of the fixed capital of Howard Hughes’ company TWA, of the military fleet of the Israeli state, the Hollywood feature film *Speed II* and finally as scrap in the Mojave desert's cemetery for wreckage. The tracing of its history returns the dumb object to us as an exemplary case of identity.
The film is staged in three episodes - 'before the crash', 'crash' and 'after the crash' - forming a loop in the narration. In each of these stages, its elements - different historical stages, image sources and shots - return in a different order and context. In the credits Steyerl quotes herself as responsible for the 'recycling' and in this film it becomes quite apparent that this is what she is aiming for as an artistic strategy: a recycling of contemporary imagery, histories and references bundled into a narration which demonstrates its contemporaneity as a consciousness of its unfinished past, of the potentials still buried in its past, and the historical amiguities it is based upon. This might happen through the conversations with the cameraman about his experiences of working conditions, the ones with the narrator about the absurdity of official state communiqués, the self-reflexive gestures towards the use of DVDs as endlessly recycled material, of digitalised imagery, of the presence of the author or the multiple openings towards the political history of the 20th century. This history loops out from the plane itself to contemplate Howard Hughes' film *Hells Angels* of 1929, the stock market crash of the same year, Tretyakov's text of 1929, his suicide of 1939, the Boeing's career in the Israeli army from the 1970s, its being present in Entebbe 1976, the German filmic re-narrations of this hi-jacking from the same period, the aeroplane's retirement in California in the 1990s, the introduction of digital film and media, the cameraman's hardship due to this technological innovation and the story of operator's previous career as a pilot.

What is 'in free fall' in Steyerl's film is, in many ways, the anticipation of historical sense per se, and Boeing 4X-JYI bears witness to the uninhibited disintegration of its common supposition. Unlike Steyerl's earlier films the viewer here does not witness the mutilation of a narrative, the explication of its presuppositions, but the diversification and fragmentation of several narrations through their concatenation in one object, the Boeing, and its consumption through time. Capitalist commodity production and its omnipresence in all parts of global human reproduction implies sense as a category which is inseparably connected to value. Steyerl follows this logic into the life and death of Boeing 4X-JYI, narrated through the accumulations and losses of its use value. Our present, and this is what Steyerl has argued in her texts on the productive degradations of imagery, consists not so much in the significance of the production of an object as in its reproduction and gradual degradation. In *Free Fall* turns away from Steyerl's previous mode of inflicting personal, political and cultural histories onto the visual representations of their reproduction and towards the infliction of the object as a character of historical participation. The reconstruction of these processes of degradation seem, for Steyerl, to involve the most significant and also the most potentiated social acts. In that she inverts her main reference, Tretyakov, proposing the degradation, not the production, of the object as the potential moment of its collectivising anticipation, Steyerl turns away from one central assumption: that a collective reconstruction of capitalism can only lie in that of its productive forces. Steyerl proposes to start from the object's end instead and anticipate the collectivity of capitalist consumption as one which can potentially engage in its own activation. enigmatic staging of this process of an object's degradation does, however, pose the problem of being systematically distinguished from its narrator, Steyerl. As the film's author she remains bemusingly external to the narration. Her own implication in the subject is no longer made to explicitly contribute to the narration itself. Steyerl, who now appears in her film as an author, a writer, attempts an appropriation of another writer's technique, namely that of Tretyakov. She positions herself in a new self-conscious alliance, that of (engaged) authors.
When Tretyakov suggested a *Biography of the Object* in 1929, he aimed at the negation of the heroic subject of narration, which he replaced with one which was not entitled to its own history but rather to a non-unified collectivity of deeds, an open configuration. In his introduction to the text, Devin Fore calls this 'an epic of a collective'. Tretyakov in his own text signifies this development with an image of people encountering the object on a conveyor belt at its intersections and stations, adding sense to it in a wide range of different processes and demonstrating its consumption as just one last step among many possible ones. For Tretyakov, the *Biography of the Object* formulates an exercise for writers, enabling them to supersede not only the bourgeois figure of the heroic subject, but also the political hardship of the late 1920s under Stalin. It was an attempt to concentrate on the production lines of Russia's post-revolutionary society in such a way as to enable a still potentially revolutionary perspective onto their present; a perspective of emphatic collectivity *through* the figure of the object. Steyerl transposes Tretyakov's idea into a present in which she stages the biography of an object as that of its visual representations in capitalist mass culture, in TV series, in Hollywood movies, in music videos, in news reels and through internet data - its profanation.

Meaning here is added through visual representation rather than through deeds. The narrations of production, that of the cameraman above all, remain individual, while the visual representations appear collectivised. Tretyakov had suggested the collectivisation of narration through the synthesising forces of production, a hope which in today's neoliberal formations of capitalist production can no longer easily be called upon - and Steyerl doesn't. Instead, she attempts to translate Tretyakov's idea into a society defining itself through consumption and narrates the story of a collectivisation through the visual anticipation of an object's degradation: a repetition of the collective gaze onto the spectacle of consumption, edited in recursive succession. Steyerl's *In Free Fall* annotates visual representations of the consumption of an object over time, turning away not only from Tretyakov's concentration on production as a shared activity of the collective but also from any consciously shared process of individuals altogether. The binding factor of her narration in this film is the visual representation only. Even though one might argue that, following similar essayistic methodologies as in her earlier films, Steyerl here again exposes a means and not the representation of a means, the means she offers is representation itself.
In Steyerl's new films, the strategy of using recycled representation is employed to demonstrate that connections may be drawn between virtually any point of globalised capitalist production, but these connections do not offer any new or privileged knowledge, any sense beyond the material. Where the earlier films expanded the narrations of a given subject and demonstrated through its re-representations that any attempted attribution of subjectivity to actions might only consist in the voluntary and involuntary breaks between the general social functions within which these subjects are implied, and thus are open to a possibly collective anticipation. *In Free Fall* projects this schema onto an object, which is produced, consumed and dismantled as a commodity. Within the biography of a capitalist object, a commodity, the possible breaks within its social function can only be enacted through the anticipation of a subject. In this film these intersections between objects and subjects remain loose; ultimately only Steyerl herself performs as a subject who leaves an actual imprint on the object, excavating its remains in the Mojave desert to narrate its twisted biography.

Steyerl has turned from the essayistic subject to the essayistic object and thus takes commodity fetishism's promise - that all capitalist objects are naturalised, individual forms born, rather than produced - quite literally. She does so to enable a fundamental shift of perspective. Where politically engaged critiques of commodity fetishism have, like Tretyakov himself, turned historically to the object's production, following Karl Marx' analysis of the human labour invested, Steyerl inverts this view and historicises the destruction of the object. She fetishises the commodity in order to ask: what labour, what time-frame and what political reality was necessary to dismantle it, to exhaust its use value? Labour herein returns as a secondary effect of the capitalist world of commodity fetishism, engendering the realisation that production no longer operates upon nature but has long produced its own material basis. This opens up an understanding of labour at different ends of capitalist consumer culture, one which is no longer categorically differentiated from other work, but signifies in a wide range of activities. This idea seems to imply that the addition of value through human labour might be negatively mirrored in the subtraction of value through human work, that all human activity which does not add value to the capitalist process of production might actually have the potential to subtract it. To discuss labour from the perspective of its destructive characteristics would then allow for the formulations of destructive collectivities, which are not limited to the documentaries of political spectacle, of riots and uprisings, but would figure in the (analytical) exhaustion of whatever object is at hand. A solidary bond, realising one's own work within the world of capitalist consumption. In *In Free Fall*, one might argue, this is not explicit, as collectivity remains unaddressed and only emerges in the newly recognisable imagery of the Boeing's mass mediatic revenants, while the narration rests safely in Steyerl's hands. Also, a figure of anti-capitalist collectivity emerging from acts of degradation and profanation was left undiscussed. In line with Tretyakov's narrations of collectivity built through production, it may well be worth...
expanding Steyerl's perspective of a possible collectivity developed through chains of destruction in order to provide a critical means to escape the spectacularisation of political engagement in art documentarism.

Kerstin Stakemeier <non_sign AT gmx.de> completed a PhD at UCL London on 'Entkunstung - artistic models for the end of art', writes for Texte zur Kunst, Afterall, Konkret and Phase2, often in collaboration Johannes Paul Raether. She is currently working on Beginning Good. All Good an actualisation of the Russian Futurist Opera Victory Over the Sun of 1913 with Nina Köller, Eva Birkenstock and a group of 40 artistic collaborators in Berlin and Bregenz.

Footnotes
2 http://tarnac9.wordpress.com/texts/the-coming-insurrection/
3 Hito Steyerl, 'A Language of Practice', in: Hito Steyerl & Maria Lind (Eds.), op. cit., p.226.
5 For a wide range of significant examples see E-flux.com.
8 Devin Fore, 'Introduction', op. cit., pp. 3-10.
9 Sergei Tretyakov, 'Our Cinema', ibid., p.44.
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